UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,624	11/04/2002	Edward Jobson	0173.019.PCUS00	4218
	7590 12/30/200 CE AND QUIGG LLP	EXAMINER		
1000 LOUISIANA STREET			NGUYEN, TU MINH	
	FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR HOUSTON, TX 77002			PAPER NUMBER
,			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	A!!4! NI-	I Annilla and a		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comment	10/065,624	JOBSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TU M. NGUYEN	3748		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Sectors</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Experiments.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 36,37,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 38-45 and 48-55 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2007</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/065,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on September 9, 2009 has been entered. Overall, claims 36-55 are pending in this application.

Drawings

2. The formal drawing of Figure 6 filed on October 9, 2007 has been approved for entry.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 36 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aine (U.S. Patent 3,903,694) in view of Kato et al. (U.S. Patent 5,953,907).

As shown in Figures 1-2, Aine discloses a device and a method for reducing an amount of a gas component (NO₂) in an exhaust gas flow of a combustion engine (2), the method comprising steps of:

- feeding the exhaust gas flow from the engine to a separation unit (6);

Application/Control Number: 10/065,624 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

- separating in the separation unit the gas component (NO₂) from the exhaust gas flow, the gas component being constituted by an oxide of nitrogen (NOx compound) present in the exhaust gas flow, in a wall structure (12) comprising material (lines 43-63 of column 4) which provides a selective passage of the gas component before other gas components (nitrogen, oxygen, CO) in the exhaust gas flow; and

- returning the separated gas component to an inlet (3) of the engine via a conduit, the gas component including fresh air as a carrier gas for the gas component, as clearly shown in Figure 1.

Aine, however, fails to specifically disclose that the engine is adapted for operation by a lean air/fuel mixture; and that the method further comprises a step of detecting an amount of the oxide of nitrogen in the exhaust gas flow for controlling the amount of the gas component present in the exhaust gas flow.

Aine discloses the claimed invention except for applying the invention to a lean air-fuel ratio burning engine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the invention of Aine to a lean burning type engine, since the recitation of such amounts to an intended use statement. Note that all internal combustion engines that utilize a hydrocarbon compound as a fuel and air as a source of oxygen generate exhaust gases containing harmful emissions of HC, NOx, soot, CO, etc, that require purification before the gases can be released to the atmosphere; and the mere selection of the purification system of Aine for use in a lean air-fuel ratio burning engine would be well within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

As shown in Figure 1, Kato et al. disclose a method of controlling an engine exhaust gas system, wherein the system comprising a NOx catalyst (30) and a NOx sensor (40) located downstream of the catalyst. As indicated on lines 46-61 of column 3, Kato et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to utilize the NOx sensor to detect an amount of NOx in an exhaust gas stream, wherein when the detected amount reaches a predetermined value, a fuel injection quantity control section controls a fuel injection into the engine so that the air-fuel ratio of a mixture supplied to the engine is at a stoichiometric or rich condition for the efficient reduction of NOx at the catalyst in order to reduce an amount of NOx present in the exhaust gas stream. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the NOx sensor taught by Kato et al. to control a flow of air into the separation unit in Aine, since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to remove harmful NOx emissions in an exhaust gas stream.

5. Claims 37 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aine in view of Kato et al. as applied to claims 36 and 46, respectively, above, and further in view of Casey (U.S. Patent 5,661,973).

The modified device and method of Aine disclose the invention as cited above, however, fail to disclose that the engine is provided in connection with a turbo-aggregate with an exhaust gas turbine and a compressor for compression of air which has been fed into the engine, characterized in that an outlet conduit of the separation unit is connected to a point upstream of the compressor.

As shown in Figure 1, Casey discloses a fuel saving device for an internal combustion engine, comprising a separation unit (10) having a recovery chamber (22) for trapping residual

Art Unit: 3748

fuel components in an exhaust gas stream and returning the components to the engine via an outlet conduit (29). As indicated on lines 39-50 of column 3, Casey teaches that it is conventional in the art to return the residual fuel components to a turbo-aggregate with an exhaust gas turbine and a compressor for compression of air that has been fed into the engine, wherein the outlet conduit of the separation unit is connected to a point upstream of the compressor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Casey in the modified device and method of Aine, since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to effectively remove harmful emissions in the exhaust gas stream.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 38-45 and 48-55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if amend to overcome a claim objection outlined above and rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Aine fails to disclose a selective separation of nitrogen oxides (NOx) (page 7 of Applicant's Amendment), the examiner respectfully disagrees.

As indicated on lines 43-49 of column 4, Aine discloses that the membrane (12) is adapted to remove NO₂ from an exhaust gas stream. The phrase "nitrogen oxide" is defined by a

dictionary as "any of several oxides of nitrogen most of which are produced in combustion and are considered to be atmospheric pollutants: as a) NITRIC OXIDE, b) <u>NITROGEN DIOXIDE</u>, c) NITROUS OXIDE" (emphasis added by examiner). Thus, Aine clearly discloses or teaches a selective separation of nitrogen oxides.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the gas component is NO or Nitric Oxide) (page 7 of Applicant's Amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,624 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tu M. Nguyen/

TMN Tu M. Nguyen

December 22, 2009 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748